Representation of the Telephone Operators of Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital, New Delhi

1958. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government received a representation dated the 3rd October, 1989 from the telephone operators of Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital regarding non-implementation of the orders of the Central Administrative Tribunal; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof and what steps have been taken so far or are proposed to be taken for redressal of their grievances?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI RASHEED MASOOD): (a) and (b) A telephone operator, who was promoted to the post of Head Clerk made a representation dated 3rd October, 1989 for implementation of the judgement of Central Administrative Tribunal in respect of telephone operators working in the Lady Hardinge Medical College and Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital, New Delhi.

The representation related to the implementation of the judgement of Central Administrative Tribunal in respect of the following points:—

(a) Grant of Special pay to the Telephone Operators even after they are promoted to the post of U.D.C.

(b) Fixation of seniority of Telephone Operators at par with L.D.C.

(c) Payment of overtime allowance as if she had worked as L.D.C.

The representation was examined and the decisions were taken as under:—

In so far as (a) above is concerned, the officials initially appointed as Telephone Operators on their promotions to the post of U.D.C./ Head Clerks after their merger with the clerical cadre are not entitled to any special pay even if they continue to perform the duty of Telephone Operators.

As far as (b) above is concerned, all the Telephone Operators working in the Lady Hardinge Medical College and Smt. Sucheta Kripalani Hospital have been given the seniority at par with the L.D.C. working in the Institution.

In so far as (c) above is concerned, the Telephone Operators are being paid the Overtime allowance as admissible to L.D.C. in accordance with the O.T.A. rules and judgement of Central Administrative Tribunal. Regarding payment of arrears of O.T.A., the Central Administrative Tribunal in his judgement dated 21st July, 1988 had directed the Telephone Operator that she should submit her O.T.A. bills in proper form within a month from the date of judgement. She, however, failed to submit the bills within the stipulated period.

Payment of night duty allowance to the Telephone Operators of Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani Hospital, New Delhi

1959. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Central Administrative Tribunal in its judgement of the 21st November, 1986 equated the Telephone Operators of the Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani Hospital with the L.D.C. for the grant of overtime allowances;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the L.D.Cs. performing night duty in the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare are entitled to get night duty allowance;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Shrimati Sucheta Kripalani Hospital